arXiv:1301.6438v1 [math.RA] 28 Jan 2013

AFFINE NEAR-SEMIRINGS OVER BRANDT SEMIGROUPS

JITENDER KUMAR AND K. V. KRISHNA

Abstract. In order to study the structure of $A^+(B_n)$ – the affine near-semiring over a Brandt semigroup – this work completely characterizes the Green's classes of its semigroup reducts. In this connection, this work characterizes the elements of $A^+(B_n)$ and reports the size of $A^+(B_n)$. Further, idempotents and regular elements of the semigroup reducts of $A^+(B_n)$ have also been characterized and studied some relevant semigroups in $A^+(B_n)$.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries 2
2. The elements of $A^+(B_n)$ 4
2.1. The size of $End(B_n)$ 4
2.2. Affine mappings over B_n 5
2.3. Classification of elements in $A^+(B_n)$ 7
3. Structure of $(A^+(B_n), +)$ 9
3.1. Green's classes of $A^+(B_n)^+$ 10
3.2. Regular elements and idempotents in $A^+(B_n)^+$ 14
4. Structure of $(A^+(B_n), \circ)$ 16
4.1. Green's classes of $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ 16
4.2. Regular elements and idempotents in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ 20
5. An example: $A^+(B_2)$ 21
References 22

Introduction

An algebraic structure $(S, +, \cdot)$ with two binary operations $+$ and $\cdot$ is said to be a near-semiring if $(S, +)$ and $(S, \cdot)$ are semigroups and $\cdot$ is one-side, say left, distributive over $+$, i.e. $a(b + c) = ab + ac$, for all $a, b, c \in S$. Typical examples of near-semirings are of the form $M(\Gamma)$, the set of all mappings on a semigroup Γ, and certain subsets of $M(\Gamma)$. If Γ is a group, then $M(\Gamma)$ endows with the structure called near-ring (Pilz, 1983).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y30, 16Y60, 20M10.
Key words and phrases. Semigroup structure, Near-semiring, Green's relations, Brandt semigroup, Affine maps.

van Hoorn and van Rootselaar (1967) have introduced the concept of near-semirings as a generalization of near-rings and established some fundamental properties. Several authors have studied near-semirings in various aspects. Some authors have considered studying the algebraic structure of near-semirings (e.g. Hoogewijs (1976); Krishna and Chatterjee (2007); van Hoorn (1970); Weinert (1982)) and others utilized the concept in various applications (e.g. Desharnais and Struth (2008)). Recently, Gilbert and Samman (2010a,b) have studied the classes of endomorphism near-semirings over Clifford semigroups and Brandt semigroups.

An affine mapping over a vector space is a sum of a linear transformation and a constant map. Blackett (1956) studied the near-ring of affine mappings over a vector space. An abstract notion of affine near-rings is introduced by Gonshor (1964). Authors have considered the study of affine near-rings in different contexts (e.g. Feigelstock (1985); Malone (1969)). Holcombe (1983, 1984) studied affine near-rings, in the context of linear sequential machines. These notions are extended to near-semirings by Krishna (2005). Further, Krishna and Chatterjee (2005) have studied affine near-semirings over generalized linear sequential machines. A mapping on a semigroup $(\Gamma, +)$ is said to be an affine map if it can be written as a sum of an endomorphism and a constant map. The subnear-semiring of $M(\Gamma)$ generated by the set of affine maps is an affine near-semiring over Γ, denoted by $A^+(\Gamma)$.

In this work, we study $A^+(B_n)$ – the affine near-semiring over a Brandt semigroup B_n. In this connection, we report the size of $A^+(B_n)$ and study the structural properties of semigroup reducts of $A^+(B_n)$ via Green's relations. Other than the introduction, the paper has been organized in five sections. Section 1 provides a necessary background material. In Section 2, we conduct a systematic study to characterize the elements of $A^+(B_n)$ and finally report its size. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to give the structures of additive and multiplicative semigroups of $A^+(B_n)$, respectively. In the respective sections, for both the semigroups we provide complete characterizations of Green's classes and their sizes. Further, we characterize regular and idempotent elements of both the semigroups and study some relevant subsemigroups. Finally, in Section 5, we illustrate our results on the affine near-semiring $A^+(B_2)$.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide a necessary background material and fix our notation. For more details one may refer to (Gilbert and Samman, 2010b; Krishna, 2005).

Definition 1.1. An algebraic structure $(S, +, \cdot)$ is said to be a *near-semiring* if

1. $(S, +)$ is a semigroup,
2. $(S, \cdot)$ is a semigroup, and
3. $a(b + c) = ab + ac$, for all $a, b, c \in S$.

In this work, unless it is required, algebraic structures (such as semigroups, groups, near-semirings) will simply be referred by their underlying sets without explicit mention of their operations. Further, we write an argument of a function on its left, e.g. xf is the value of a function f at an argument x.

Example 1.2. Let $(\Gamma, +)$ be a semigroup and $M(\Gamma)$ be the set of all mappings on Γ. The algebraic structure $(M(\Gamma), +, \circ)$ is a near-semiring, where $+$ is point-wise addition and $\circ$ is composition of mappings, i.e., for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f, g \in M(\Gamma)$,

$$\gamma(f + g) = \gamma f + \gamma g \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(f \circ g) = (\gamma f)g.$$

Also, certain subsets of $M(\Gamma)$ are near-semirings. For instance, the set $M_c(\Gamma)$ of all constant mappings on Γ is a near-semiring with respect to the above operations so that $M_c(\Gamma)$ is a subnear-semiring of $M(\Gamma)$.

Given a semigroup $(\Gamma, +)$, the set $End(\Gamma)$ of all endomorphisms over Γ need not be a subnear-semiring of $M(\Gamma)$. The *endomorphism near-semiring*, denoted by $E^+(\Gamma)$, is the subnear-semiring generated by $End(\Gamma)$ in $M(\Gamma)$. Indeed, the subsemigroup of $(M(\Gamma), +)$ generated by $End(\Gamma)$ equals $(E^+(\Gamma), +)$. If $(\Gamma, +)$ is commutative, then $End(\Gamma)$ is a subnear-semiring of $M(\Gamma)$ so that $End(\Gamma) = E^+(\Gamma)$. Gilbert and Samman (2010b) have studied endomorphism near-semirings over Brandt semigroups.

Definition 1.3. For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The semigroup $(B_n, +)$, where $B_n = ([n] \times [n]) \cup \{\vartheta\}$ and the operation $+$ is given by

$$(i, j) + (k, l) = \begin{cases} (i, l) & \text{if } j = k; \\ \vartheta & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases}$$

and, for all $\alpha \in B_n$, $\alpha + \vartheta = \vartheta + \alpha = \vartheta$, is known as *Brandt semigroup.* Note that ϑ is the (two sided) zero element in B_n.

Gilbert and Samman have studied the structure of additive semigroup of near-semiring $E^+(B_n)$ via Green's relations. Further, they have reported the sizes of $E^+(B_n)$, for $n \leq 6$, using the computer algebra package GAP. The following concept plays a vital role in their work.

Definition 1.4. Let $(\Gamma, +)$ be a semigroup with zero element ϑ. For $f \in M(\Gamma)$, the *support of* f, denoted by $\mathrm{supp}(f)$, is defined by the set

$$\mathrm{supp}(f) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \mid \alpha f \neq \vartheta\}.$$

A function $f \in M(\Gamma)$ is said to be of *support* k if the cardinality of $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ is k, i.e. $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| = k$. If $k = |\Gamma|$ (or $k = 1$), then f is said to be of *full support* (or *singleton support*, respectively).

Notation 1.5. For $X \subseteq M(\Gamma)$, we write X_k to denote the set of all mappings of support k in X, i.e.

$$X_k = \{f \in X \mid f \text{ is of support } k\}.$$

Remark 1.6. If $f \in M(\Gamma)_k$, then, for any $g \in M(\Gamma)$, $|\mathrm{supp}(f + g)| \leq k$ and $|\mathrm{supp}(g + f)| \leq k$. For instance, if $x \notin \mathrm{supp}(f)$, then $x \notin \mathrm{supp}(f + g)$ and also $x \notin \mathrm{supp}(g + f)$.

Remark 1.7. If $f, g \in M(\Gamma)$, then $\mathrm{supp}(f + g) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(f) \cap \mathrm{supp}(g)$.

The present work on affine near-semirings is inspired by the work of Gilbert and Samman on endomorphism near-semirings. Now, we recall the notion of affine maps and affine near-semirings from (Krishna, 2005). Let $(\Gamma, +)$ be a semigroup. An element $f \in M(\Gamma)$ is said to be an *affine map* if $f = g + h$, for some $g \in End(\Gamma)$ and $h \in M_c(\Gamma)$. This sum is said to be an *affine decomposition* of f. The set $\mathrm{Aff}(\Gamma)$ of all affine mappings over Γ need not be a subnear-semiring of $M(\Gamma)$. The *affine near-semiring*, denoted by $A^+(\Gamma)$, is the subnear-semiring generated by $\mathrm{Aff}(\Gamma)$ in $M(\Gamma)$. Indeed, the subsemigroup of $(M(\Gamma), +)$ generated by $\mathrm{Aff}(\Gamma)$ equals $(A^+(\Gamma), +)$. If $(\Gamma, +)$ is commutative, then $\mathrm{Aff}(\Gamma)$ is a subnear-semiring of $M(\Gamma)$ so that $\mathrm{Aff}(\Gamma) = A^+(\Gamma)$.

For $a \in \Gamma$, the constant map on Γ which sends all the elements of Γ to a is denoted by ξ_a, i.e. $x\xi_a = a$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. For $X \subseteq \Gamma$, we write

$$\mathcal{C}_X = \{\xi_a \in M(\Gamma) \mid a \in X\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma = M_c(\Gamma)$. If we are not specific about the constant image, we may simply write ξ to denote a constant map. We write the set of idempotent elements of Γ by $I(\Gamma)$.

2. The elements of $A^+(B_n)$

In this section, we carry out a systematic study through three subsections to characterize the elements of $A^+(B_n)$ and find the size of $A^+(B_n)$. Subsections 2.1 and 2.2 are to study the elements of $End(B_n)$ and $\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)$, respectively. Finally, in Subsection 2.3, we obtain the main result on a classification and the number of elements of $A^+(B_n)$ (cf. Theorem 2.26).

2.1. **The size of** $End(B_n)$**.**

In this subsection, we find the size of $End(B_n)$ by generalizing the concerning result for $End_\vartheta(B_n)$ in (Gilbert and Samman, 2010b). They have shown that the monoid

$$End_\vartheta(B_n) = \{f \in End(B_n) \mid \vartheta f = \vartheta\},$$

with respect to composition of mappings, is isomorphic to the monoid $S_n^0 = S_n \cup \{0\}$, where the symmetric group S_n of degree n is adjoined by the zero element 0 (cf. (Gilbert and Samman, 2010b, Proposition 2.2)). Thus, it is clear that $|End_\vartheta(B_n)| = n! + 1$. Now, we extend this result to $End(B_n)$ and find its cardinality in Theorem 2.6.

Let $f \in Aut(B_n)$, the set of all automorphisms over B_n. Clearly $\vartheta f = \vartheta$. Then, from the proof of (Gilbert and Samman, 2010b, Proposition 2.2), there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $(i,j)f = (i\sigma, j\sigma)$. Further, for any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, the mapping $\phi_\sigma : B_n \to B_n$ such that $(i,j) \mapsto (i\sigma, j\sigma)$, $\vartheta \mapsto \vartheta$ is an automorphism over B_n. Now, it can be observed that the assignment

$$\sigma \mapsto \phi_\sigma : S_n \to Aut(B_n)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *$Aut(B_n)$ is isomorphic to S_n.*

In the sequel, using the idempotents of B_n, we observe that any nonconstant endomorphism is an automorphism. Note that $I(B_n) = \{(i,i) \mid i \in [n]\} \cup \{\vartheta\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $f \in End(B_n)$ such that $xf = yf$, for some $x, y \in I(B_n)$ with $x \neq y$, then $f \in \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in End(B_n)$ such that, for $p \neq q$, $(p,p)f = (q,q)f$. Since the image of an idempotent under f is an idempotent, let $(p,p)f = (r,r)$. We claim that $\alpha f = (r,r)$, for all $\alpha \in B_n$ so that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$. For $\alpha \in B_n$, we consider the following three cases.

Case 1: $\alpha = \vartheta$. Clearly, $\vartheta f = ((p,p) + (q,q))f = (r,r) + (r,r) = (r,r)$.

Case 2: $\alpha = (i,i)$. If $(i,i)f = (s,s)$, for some $s \neq r$, then clearly $i \neq p$. Further, $\vartheta f = ((i,i) + (p,p))f = (s,s) + (r,r) = \vartheta$. This contradicts $\vartheta f = (r,r)$, which is obtained in *Case 1*. Hence, $s = r$ so that $(i,i)f = (r,r)$, for all $i \in [n]$.

Case 3: $\alpha = (i,j)$ with $i \neq j$. Note that $(r,r) = (i,i)f = ((i,j)+(j,i))f$. Thus, $(i,j)f = (r,l)$ and $(j,i)f = (l,r)$, for some $l \in [n]$. If $l \neq r$, then

$$(j,j)f = ((j,i)+(i,j))f = (l,r)+(r,l) = (l,l).$$

This contradicts $(j,j)f = (r,r)$, which is obtained in *Case 2*. Hence, $r = l$ and $\alpha f = (r,r)$, for all $\alpha \in B_n$.

☐

Corollary 2.3. *If $f \in End(B_n) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$, then f is injective on $I(B_n)$. Moreover, $\vartheta f = \vartheta$.*

Remark 2.4. For $f \in End(B_n)$, if $(i,j)f = (p,q)$, then $(i,i)f = (p,p)$ and $(j,j)f = (q,q)$.

Proof. Since $(p,q) = (i,j)f = ((i,i)+(i,j))f = (i,i)f + (i,j)f = (i,i)f + (p,q)$, we have $(i,i)f = (p,p)$. Similarly $(j,j)f = (q,q)$. ☐

Lemma 2.5. *If $f \in End(B_n) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$, then $f|_{I(B_n)^c}$ is injective into $I(B_n)^c = B_n \setminus I(B_n)$.*

Proof. Let $x = (i,j) \in I(B_n)^c$ such that $xf = (p,q)$. Clearly, $i \neq j$. If $p = q$, then, by Remark 2.4, $(i,i)f = (p,p)$ and $(j,j)f = (q,q) = (p,p)$. Consequently, $\vartheta f = ((i,i)+(j,j))f = (i,i)f + (j,j)f = (p,p)+(p,p) = (p,p)$. This contradicts Corollary 2.3. Hence, $p \neq q$ so that $xf \in I(B_n)^c$.

For $(i,j),(k,l) \in I(B_n)^c$, suppose $(i,j)f = (k,l)f = (p,q)$. Then, by Remark 2.4, $(i,i)f = (p,p) = (k,k)f$ and $(j,j)f = (q,q) = (l,l)f$. Note that $i = k$; otherwise, $\vartheta = (i,i)+(k,k)$ so that $\vartheta f = (p,p)$; a contradiction to Corollary 2.3. Similarly, if $j \neq l$, then $\vartheta f = (q,q)$; again a contradiction to Corollary 2.3. Thus, $(i,j) = (k,l)$. Hence, $f|_{I(B_n)^c}$ is injective into $I(B_n)^c$. ☐

Theorem 2.6. *$End(B_n) = Aut(B_n) \cup \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$. Hence, $|End(B_n)| = n! + n + 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, $Aut(B_n) \cup \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)} \subseteq End(B_n)$. If $f \in End(B_n) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$, then by Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we have f is injective on B_n, so that $f \in Aut(B_n)$. Hence, $End(B_n) = Aut(B_n) \cup \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$. By Proposition 2.1, $|Aut(B_n)| = |S_n| = n!$. Since there are $n+1$ idempotent elements in B_n, $|\mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}| = n+1$. Hence, $|End(B_n)| = n! + n + 1$. ☐

2.2. **Affine mappings over B_n.**

In this subsection, we first characterize the elements of $\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)$ classified by their support. Using the characterizations, we provide the size of $\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)$ in Theorem 2.16.

Proposition 2.7. *For $g \in End(B_n)$ and $c \in B_n$,*

$$g + \xi_c \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n \Longleftrightarrow c \neq \vartheta \ \text{ and } \ g \in Aut(B_n).$$

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: If $c = \vartheta$, then $g + \xi_c$ is the zero map, which is not of support n. Hence, $c \neq \vartheta$. Also, if $g \in \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$, then $g + \xi_c$ is a constant map, which is either the zero map or of full support. Thus, $g \notin \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, $g \in Aut(B_n)$.

$:\Leftarrow$ Clearly, $g + \xi_c \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)$. Since $g \in Aut(B_n)$, by Proposition 2.1, there exists a permutation σ on $[n]$ such that $(i,j)g = (i\sigma, j\sigma)$, for all $(i,j) \in B_n \setminus \{\vartheta\}$. Assume $c = (p,q)$ so that $\alpha\xi_c = (p,q)$, for all $\alpha \in B_n$. For fixed $i \in [n]$, $(i,j)(g+\xi_c) =$

$(i\sigma, j\sigma) + (p, q)$. Since $p \in [n]$ and σ is a permutation on $[n]$, there exists a unique $k \in [n]$ such that $k\sigma = p$. Consequently, for $j = k$, we have

$$(i, k)(g + \xi_c) = (i\sigma, k\sigma) + (p, q) = (i\sigma, q).$$

For $j \neq k$,

$$(i, j)(g + \xi_c) = (i\sigma, j\sigma) + (p, q) = \vartheta.$$

Thus, among (i, j) for $1 \leq j \leq n$, only $(i, k) \in \mathrm{supp}(g + \xi_c)$. Since there are n different choices for $i \in [n]$, we have $\{(1, k), (2, k), \ldots, (n, k)\} \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(g + \xi_c)$. Since $g \in Aut(B_n)$, $\vartheta g = \vartheta$ and consequently, $\vartheta(g + \xi_c) = \vartheta$. Hence, $|\mathrm{supp}(g + \xi_c)| = n$ so that $g + \xi_c \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$. □

The following representation of an affine map of support n is evident from its characterization in Proposition 2.7.

Corollary 2.8. *If $f \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$, then there exist $k, q \in [n]$ and a permutation σ on $[n]$ such that f maps the elements of B_n as shown below:*

$$\begin{array}{c}(1, k) \mapsto (1\sigma, q)\\ (2, k) \mapsto (2\sigma, q)\\ \vdots\\ (n, k) \mapsto (n\sigma, q)\end{array}$$

and $\alpha f = \vartheta$, for all $\alpha \in B_n \setminus \{(i, k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Corollary 2.9. *If $f \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$, then only one idempotent will be in $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ and only one nonzero idempotent will be in $\mathrm{Im}(f)$, the image of f.*

Definition 2.10. For $f \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$, a *representation of f* is defined by a triplet $(k, q; \sigma)$, where the parameters k, q and σ are as per the Corollary 2.8.

Remark 2.11. If $(k, q; \sigma)$ is the representation of $f \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$, then $\phi_\sigma + \xi_{(k\sigma, q)}$ is an affine decomposition of f (cf. Proposition 2.1).

Lemma 2.12. $|\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$.

Proof. Let $(k, p; \sigma)$ be the representation of an element in $\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$. Clearly, there are n possibilities for each k and p. Further, since $\sigma \in S_n$, there are $n!$ possibilities for σ. Hence, $|\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n| \leq (n!)n^2$. Let $f = (k, p; \sigma)$ and $g = (l, q; \rho)$ be affine maps of support n. If $k \neq l$ or $p \neq q$, then $\mathrm{supp}(f) \neq \mathrm{supp}(g)$ or $\mathrm{Im}(f) \neq \mathrm{Im}(g)$, respectively. Thus, in either case, $f \neq g$. Assume $k = l$ and $p = q$, but $\sigma \neq \rho$. Then there exists $i_0 \in [n]$ such that $i_0\sigma \neq i_0\rho$. Now,

$$(i_0, k)f = (i_0\sigma, p) \neq (i_0\rho, p) = (i_0, k)g$$

so that $f \neq g$. Thus, there are $(n!)n^2$ distinct elements in $\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$. Hence, $|\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$. □

Proposition 2.13. *An affine map f is of full support if and only if $f = \xi_{(p,p)} + \xi_{(p,q)}$, for some $p, q \in [n]$.*

Proof. Suppose $f = g + \xi$ is an affine map of full support, for some $g \in End(B_n)$. Clearly, $\xi \neq \xi_\vartheta$ and $g \neq \xi_\vartheta$; otherwise, f will be of support 0. Further, note that $g \notin Aut(B_n)$; otherwise, by Proposition 2.7, f will be of support n. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, $g \in \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}$, say $g = \xi_{(p,p)}$, for some $p \in [n]$. Since f is a nonzero map, $\xi = \xi_{(p,q)}$, for some $q \in [n]$.

For $p, q \in [n]$, since $\xi_{(p,p)} + \xi_{(p,q)}$ is a nonzero affine constant map, converse is straightforward. $\square$

Since the full support affine maps are characterized by the choices of $p, q \in [n]$, we have the following corollary of Proposition 2.13.

Corollary 2.14. $|\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_{n^2+1}| = n^2$.

Remark 2.15. Every constant map is an affine map. Clearly, the zero map ξ_ϑ can be written as $\xi_{(p,p)} + \xi_{(k,q)}$, for $p \neq k$. Similarly, a nonzero constant map $\xi_{(p,q)}$ can be decomposed as $\xi_{(p,p)} + \xi_{(p,q)}$.

Theorem 2.16. $\mathrm{Aff}(B_n) = \mathcal{C}_{B_n} \cup \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$ *and hence,* $|\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)| = (n! + 1)n^2 + 1$.

Proof. Using simple set theoretic properties, we can observe the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Aff}(B_n) &= End(B_n) + \mathcal{C}_{B_n} \\
&= (Aut(B_n) \cup \mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)}) + \mathcal{C}_{B_n} \quad \text{(by Theorem 2.6)} \\
&= (Aut(B_n) + \mathcal{C}_{B_n}) \cup (\mathcal{C}_{I(B_n)} + \mathcal{C}_{B_n}) \\
&= (\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n \cup \{\xi_\vartheta\}) \cup \mathcal{C}_{B_n} \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.7)} \\
&= \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n \cup \mathcal{C}_{B_n}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the final expression is a disjoint union and $|\mathcal{C}_{B_n}| = |B_n| = n^2 + 1$. Now, by Lemma 2.12, we have $|\mathrm{Aff}(B_n)| = (n! + 1)n^2 + 1$. $\square$

2.3. Classification of elements in $A^+(B_n)$.

We conclude the section in this subsection by obtaining the cardinality of $A^+(B_n)$ along with a classification of its elements (cf. Theorem 2.26).

Proposition 2.17. *If* $f \in A^+(B_n)$ *and* $\vartheta \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$*, then* f *is a nonzero constant map.*

Proof. Clearly, as $\vartheta f \neq \vartheta$, f is a nonzero map. Write

$$f = f_1 + \cdots + f_m$$

where $f_i \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. If f is not a constant map, then there exists j (with $1 \leq j \leq m$) such that f_j is not a constant map. Hence, by Theorem 2.16, $f_j \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$. Consequently, by Proposition 2.7, $f_j = g + \xi_c$, for some $g \in Aut(B_n)$ and $c \neq \vartheta$. Since $g \in Aut(B_n)$, we have $\vartheta g = \vartheta$ so that $\vartheta f_j = \vartheta$ and $\vartheta f = \vartheta$; which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence, f is a constant map. $\square$

It is clear that any nonzero constant map in $M(B_n)$ is of full support. The following corollary ascertains that the converse holds in case of the elements in $A^+(B_n)$.

Corollary 2.18. *If* $f \in A^+(B_n)$ *is of full support, then* f *is a nonzero constant map. Hence,* $|A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}| = n^2$.

Proposition 2.19. *If* $f, g \in \mathrm{Aff}(B_n)_n$ *and* h *is a nonzero constant map, then we have*

1. $|\mathrm{supp}(g + f)| = 0$ *or* 1,
2. $|\mathrm{supp}(h + f)| = 1$,
3. $|\mathrm{supp}(f + h)| = 0$ *or* n.

Proof. Let $(k, q; \sigma)$ and $(k', q'; \sigma')$ be the representations of f and g, respectively and $h = \xi_{(r,s)}$, for $r, s \in [n]$.

(1) If $k \neq k'$, then $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap \operatorname{supp}(g) = \varnothing$ and clearly, $|\operatorname{supp}(g + f)| = 0$. Otherwise,

$$\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(g) = \{(i, k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Since σ is a permutation on $[n]$, let j be the unique element in $[n]$ such that $j\sigma = q'$. Now,

$$(j, k)(g + f) = (j\sigma', q') + (j\sigma, q) = (j\sigma', q)$$

and, for $i \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$, $(i, k)(g + f) = \vartheta$. Thus, $\operatorname{supp}(g + f) = \{(j, k)\}$.

(2) Since σ is a permutation on $[n]$, there is a unique $t \in [n]$ such that $t\sigma = s$. Now,

$$(t, k)(h + f) = (t, k)\xi_{(r,s)} + (t, k)f = (r, s) + (t\sigma, q) = (r, q)$$

and for all $\alpha \in B_n \setminus \{(t, k)\}$, $\alpha(h + f) = \vartheta$. Thus, $|\operatorname{supp}(h + f)| = 1$.

(3) If $q \neq r$, then clearly, $\alpha(f + h) = \vartheta$, for all $\alpha \in B_n$, so that $|\operatorname{supp}(f + h)| = 0$. Otherwise, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$(i, k)(f + h) = (i\sigma, q) + (r, s) = (i\sigma, s)$$

and, for all $\alpha \in B_n \setminus \operatorname{supp}(f)$, $\alpha(f + h) = \vartheta$. Hence, $|\operatorname{supp}(f + h)| = n$.

□

If $\phi_\sigma + \xi_{(k\sigma,q)}$ is an affine decomposition of support n map f (cf. Remark 2.11) and $h = \xi_{(q,s)}$, then $\phi_\sigma + \xi_{(k\sigma,s)}$ is an affine decomposition of $f + h$. Thus, we have the following corollary of Proposition 2.19(3).

Corollary 2.20. *Let $(k, q; \sigma)$ be the representation of $f \in \operatorname{Aff}(B_n)_n$ and $h = \xi_{(r,s)}$ be a nonzero constant map. If $|\operatorname{supp}(f + h)| = n$, then $f + h$ is an affine map with the representation $(k, s; \sigma)$.*

Lemma 2.21. *For $f \in M(B_n)$, $f \in A^+(B_n)_1$ if and only if there exist $k, l, p, q \in [n]$ such that*

$$(k, l)f = (p, q) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha f = \vartheta$$

for all $\alpha \in B_n \setminus \{(k, l)\}$. Hence, $|A^+(B_n)_1| = n^4$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: is straightforward.

$:\Leftarrow$ Consider a permutation σ on $[n]$ such that $k\sigma = q$ and consider $g \in \operatorname{Aff}(B_n)_n$ whose representation is $(l, q; \sigma)$. Set $f = \xi_{(p,q)} + g$ so that $f \in A^+(B_n)$. Now,

$$(k, l)f = (k, l)\xi_{(p,q)} + (k, l)g = (p, q) + (k\sigma, q) = (p, q)$$

and, for $(i, l) \in \operatorname{supp}(g)$ with $i \neq k$, $(i, l)f = (p, q) + (i\sigma, q) = \vartheta$, as $i\sigma \neq q$. Further, it is clear that $\alpha f = \vartheta$, for all $\alpha \notin \operatorname{supp}(g)$. Hence, $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \{(k, l)\}$.

Note that $|A^+(B_n)_1|$ is the number of choices of $k, l, p, q \in [n]$, as desired. □

Notation 2.22. We use ${}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$ to denote the singleton support map f whose $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \{(k, l)\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(f) \setminus \{\vartheta\} = \{(p, q)\}$.

Lemma 2.23. *If $f \in A^+(B_n) \setminus \operatorname{Aff}(B_n)$, then $|\operatorname{supp}(f)| = 1$.*

Proof. Write $f = f_1 + \cdots + f_m$, for $m \geq 2$ and $f_i \in \text{Aff}(B_n)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. If $f_i = \xi_\vartheta$, for some i, then clearly, f is the zero map and $f \in \text{Aff}(B_n)$; a contradiction. On the other hand, we will discuss the result in the following two cases. First note that, by Theorem 2.16, for each i, $|\text{supp}(f_i)| = n$ or $n^2 + 1$.

Case 1: $|\text{supp}(f_i)| = n^2 + 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Being a sum of constant maps, f will be a constant map, whose support is $n^2 + 1$ or 0. As all constant maps are affine maps, this is not the case.

Case 2: $|\text{supp}(f_i)| = n$, for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Subcase 2.1: If f has a support n factor f_j, for some $j \geq 2$. Then, by Proposition 2.19, $|\text{supp}(f_{j-1} + f_j)| \leq 1$ so that $|\text{supp}(f)| \leq 1$ (cf. Remark 1.6). But, $|\text{supp}(f)| = 0$ is not the case, as the zero map is an affine map. Hence, $|\text{supp}(f)| = 1$.

Subcase 2.2: The factor f_1 is of support n and all other factors f_i, for all $2 \leq i \leq m$, are constant maps. Then, $h = f_2 + \cdots + f_m$ is a nonzero constant map and, by Proposition 2.19(3), $f = f_1 + h$ is of support 0 or n. Again, $|\text{supp}(f)| = 0$ is not the case. If $|\text{supp}(f)| = n$, by Corollary 2.20, we have $f \in \text{Aff}(B_n)$. This is also a contradiction to the choice of f.

□

In view of Theorem 2.16, we have the following corollary of Lemma 2.23.

Corollary 2.24. *For $n \geq 3$ and $1 < k < n$, $A^+(B_n)_k = \varnothing$.*

In view of Lemma 2.12, we obtain the size of $A^+(B_n)_n$ in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.25. *For $n \geq 1$, $f \in \text{Aff}(B_n)_n \Longleftrightarrow f \in A^+(B_n)_n$. Hence, $|A^+(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$.*

Now, combining the results from Corollary 2.18 through Corollary 2.25, we have the following main result of the section.

Theorem 2.26. *For $n \geq 2$, $|A^+(B_n)| = (n! + 1)n^2 + n^4 + 1$. In fact, we have the following breakup of the elements of $A^+(B_n)$.*

1. *The number of mappings of full support is n^2.*
2. *The number of mappings of support n is $(n!)n^2$.*
3. *The number of mappings of singleton support is n^4.*
4. *The number of mappings of support 0 is 1.*

Remark 2.27. For $n = 1$, $End(B_n) = \text{Aff}(B_n) = A^+(B_n) = \{(1, 1; id)\} \cup \mathcal{C}_{B_n}$, where id is the identity permutation on $[n]$.

For a quick reference, we have shown the number of elements (classified by their support) in $A^+(B_n)$, for all $n \leq 7$, in Table 1.

3. Structure of $(A^+(B_n), +)$

In this section, we study additive semigroup structure of the affine near-semiring $A^+(B_n)$ via Green's relations. First we characterize Green's classes of $(A^+(B_n), +)$ and find their sizes. Further, we investigate the regular and idempotent elements of $A^+(B_n)$ and certain relevant subsemigroups. We observe that the semigroup $(A^+(B_n), +)$ is eventually regular. We refer (Howie, 1995) for certain fundamental notions on semigroups.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
full support	1	4	9	16	25	36	49
support n	1	8	54	384	3,000	25,920	246,960
support 1		16	81	256	625	1,296	2,401
support 0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\|A^+(B_n)\|$	3	29	145	657	3,651	27,253	249,411

Table 1. The number of elements of $A^+(B_n)$

The semigroup reduct $(A^+(B_n), +)$ of the affine near-semiring $(A^+(B_n), +, \circ)$ is denoted by ${A^+(B_n)}^+$. Further, in a particular context, if there is no emphasis on the semigroup, we may simply write $A^+(B_n)$.

3.1. **Green's classes of ${A^+(B_n)}^+$.** In this subsection, we study all the Green's relations $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ on the semigroup ${A^+(B_n)}^+$. Being a finite semigroup, ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is periodic; hence, by (Howie, 1995, Proposition 2.1.4), the Green's relations $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ coincide on ${A^+(B_n)}^+$. For $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$, R_f, L_f and D_f denote the Green's classes of the relations $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively, containing f.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f and g be two mappings in the semigroup $(M(B_n), +)$. If $f\mathcal{R}g$ (or $f\mathcal{L}g$), then* $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$.

Proof. If $f = g$, the result is straightforward. Otherwise, if $f\mathcal{R}g$, then there exist $h, h' \in M(B_n)$ such that $f + h = g$ and $g + h' = f$. By Remark 1.7,

$$\mathrm{supp}(g) = \mathrm{supp}(f + h) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(f)$$

and

$$\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g + h') \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(g).$$

Hence, $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$. Similarly, if $f\mathcal{L}g$, then $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let f be a nonzero map in the semigroup $(M(B_n), +)$. Then f is neither $\mathcal{R}$-related nor $\mathcal{L}$-related to ξ_ϑ.*

Remark 3.3. For $f \in {A^+(B_1)}^+$, $R_f = L_f = D_f = \{f\}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $\pi_i : [n] \times [n] \to [n]$ be the ith projection map. That is, $(p, q)\pi_1 = p$ and $(p, q)\pi_2 = q$, for all $(p, q) \in [n] \times [n]$.

Definition 3.4. For $f \in M(B_n)$, an *image invariant* of f, denoted by $ii(f)$, is defined as the number $q \in [n]$, if exists, such that

$$\mathrm{Im}(f) \setminus \{\vartheta\} = \{(i, q) \mid i \in X\}$$

for some $X \subseteq [n]$.

Remark 3.5. From Theorem 2.26, it can be observed that every nonzero element of $A^+(B_n)$ has an image invariant.

Theorem 3.6. *For $f, g \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \setminus \{\xi_\vartheta\}$, $f\mathcal{R}g$ if and only if* $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$ *and $\alpha f \pi_1 = \alpha g \pi_1$, for all $\alpha \in$* $\mathrm{supp}(f)$.

Proof. Suppose f, g are $\mathcal{R}$-related. By Lemma 3.1, $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(g)$. For $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$, let $\alpha f = (k_1, k_2)$ and $\alpha g = (k_3, k_4)$. We claim that $k_1 = k_3$. If $f = g$, then we are done. Otherwise, since $f\mathcal{R}g$, there exists $h \in A^+(B_n)$ such that $f + h = g$. Now

$$(k_3, k_4) = \alpha g = \alpha(f + h) = (k_1, k_2) + \alpha h,$$

so that $\alpha h = (k_2, k_4)$ and clearly, $k_1 = k_3$. Hence, $\alpha f \pi_1 = \alpha g \pi_1$, for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$.

For the converse, let $ii(f) = l$ and $ii(g) = m$ (cf. Remark 3.5). Define the functions $h, h' : B_n \to B_n$ by

$$\alpha h = (l, m), \ \ \forall \alpha \in B_n$$

and

$$\alpha h' = (m, l), \ \ \forall \alpha \in B_n.$$

Clearly, $h, h' \in A^+(B_n)$. We show, simultaneously, that $\operatorname{supp}(f + h) = \operatorname{supp}(g)$ and $\alpha(f + h) = \alpha g$, for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(g)$. Note that $\operatorname{supp}(f + h) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(g)$. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(g)$. Then, $\alpha f \neq 0$ so that $\alpha f = (k, l)$ for some $k \in [n]$, as $ii(f) = l$. Thus, since $\alpha f \pi_1 = \alpha g \pi_1$ and $ii(g) = m$, we have $\alpha g = (k, m)$. Now,

$$\alpha(f + h) = \alpha f + \alpha h = (k, l) + (l, m) = (k, m) = \alpha g.$$

Hence, $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(f + h)$ and $f + h = g$. Similarly, we can prove that $g + h' = f$ so that $f\mathcal{R}g$. □

Theorem 3.7. *For $n \geq 2$, the number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is*

$$(n!)n + n^3 + n + 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, no two elements of different supports are $\mathcal{R}$-related. Hence, we count the number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ classified by the supports of its elements. Let $f \in A^+(B_n)$.

Case 1: f is of support 0. Clearly, $\{\xi_\vartheta\}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-class (cf. Corollary 3.2).

Case 2: f is of support 1. Let $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. Then, by Theorem 3.6,

$$R_f = \left\{ {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,i)} \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \,\middle|\, i \in [n] \right\}.$$

Since there are n distinct element in R_f, we have $|R_f| = n$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_1| = n^4$ so that there are n^3 $\mathcal{R}$-classes containing the support 1 elements.

Case 3: f is of support n. Let $f = (k, q; \sigma)$. Then, by Theorem 3.6,

$$R_f = \{(k, i; \sigma) \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \mid i \in [n]\}.$$

Since there are n distinct element in R_f, we have $|R_f| = n$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$ so that the number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes containing the support n elements will be $(n!)n$.

Case 4: f is of support $n^2 + 1$. Let $f = \xi_{(p,q)}$. Then, again by Theorem 3.6,

$$R_f = \{\xi_{(p,i)} \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \mid i \in [n]\}.$$

Since there are n distinct element in R_f, we have $|R_f| = n$. Further, since $|A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}| = n^2$ (cf. Theorem 2.26), we have n $\mathcal{R}$-classes containing the full support maps.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is $(n!)n + n^3 + n + 1$. □

We present a characterization of the Green's relation $\mathcal{L}$ on ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *For $f, g \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$, we have*

1. *if $f \in A^+(B_n)_n$, then $L_f = \{f\}$;*
2. *if $f, g \in A^+(B_n)_1 \cup A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}$, then*

$$f\mathcal{L}g \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g) \text{ and } \alpha f\pi_2 = \alpha g\pi_2, \forall \alpha \in \mathrm{supp}(f).$$

Proof.

1. If $n = 1$, the result is straightforward, as there is only one map in $A^+(B_n)_n$. For $n \geq 2$, let $g \in L_f$ with $g \neq f$. Then there exists $h \in A^+(B_n)$ such that $h + f = g$. If $|\mathrm{supp}(h)| \leq 1$, then clearly $|\mathrm{supp}(h + f)| \leq 1$; which is not possible because $|\mathrm{supp}(g)| = n$. Otherwise, by Theorem 2.26, $|\mathrm{supp}(h)| = n$ or n^2+1. Then, by Proposition 2.19, $|\mathrm{supp}(h+f)| \leq 1$; which is not possible too. Thus, if $g \neq f$, then $g \notin L_f$. Hence, $L_f = \{f\}$.
2. Let $f\mathcal{L}g$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$, let $\alpha f = (k_1, k_2)$ and $\alpha g = (k_3, k_4)$. We claim that $k_2 = k_4$. If $f = g$, then we are done. Otherwise, since $f\mathcal{L}g$, there exists $h \in A^+(B_n)$ such that $h + f = g$. Now
$$(k_3, k_4) = \alpha g = \alpha(h + f) = \alpha h + (k_1, k_2),$$
so that $\alpha h = (k_3, k_1)$ and clearly, $k_2 = k_4$. Hence, $\alpha f\pi_2 = \alpha g\pi_2$, for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$.

   For the converse, first note that both f and g are either of full support or of singleton support. Consequently, for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$,
$$\alpha f = (l, q), \text{ for some } (l, q) \in B_n \setminus \{\vartheta\}$$
and
$$\alpha g = (m, q), \text{ for some } (m, q) \in B_n \setminus \{\vartheta\}.$$
Define the functions $h, h' : B_n \to B_n$ by
$$\alpha h = (m, l), \ \ \forall \alpha \in B_n$$
and
$$\alpha h' = (l, m), \ \ \forall \alpha \in B_n.$$
Clearly, $h, h' \in A^+(B_n)$. Further, $h + f = g$ and $h' + g = f$ so that $f\mathcal{L}g$.

□

Theorem 3.9. *For $n \geq 2$, the number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is*

$$(n!)n^2 + n^3 + n + 1.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1, we count the number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes of ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ classified by the supports of its elements. Let $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$.

Case 1: f is of support 0. Clearly, $\{f\}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-class (cf. Corollary 3.2).

Case 2: f is of support 1. Let $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. Then, by Theorem 3.8(2),

$$L_f = \left\{ {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(i,q)} \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \,\middle|\, i \in [n] \right\}.$$

Since there are n distinct element in L_f, we have $|L_f| = n$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_1| = n^4$ so that the number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes containing the singleton support elements will be n^3.

Case 3: f is of support n. By Theorem 3.8(1), $L_f = \{f\}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.26, the number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes corresponding to the maps of support n in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is $(n!)n^2$.

Case 4: f is of support n^2+1. Let $f = \xi_{(p,q)}$ Then, by Theorem 3.8(2),

$$L_f = \{\xi_{(i,q)} \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \mid i \in [n]\}.$$

Since there are n distinct element in L_f, we have $|L_f| = n$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}| = n^2$ so that there are n $\mathcal{L}$-classes containing the full support maps.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is $(n!)n^2+n^3+n+1$. □

We present a characterization of the Green's relation $\mathcal{D}$ on ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *For $f, g \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$, we have*

1. *if $f, g \in A^+(B_n)_n$, then $f\mathcal{D}g \Longleftrightarrow f\mathcal{R}g$;*
2. *if $f, g \in A^+(B_n)_1 \cup A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}$, then*

$$f\mathcal{D}g \Longleftrightarrow \text{supp}(f) = \text{supp}(g).$$

Proof.

1. If $f\mathcal{D}g$, then there exists $h \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$ such that $f\mathcal{L}h$ and $h\mathcal{R}g$. Now, by Theorem 3.8(1), $f = h$ so that $f\mathcal{R}g$. Converse is straightforward.
2. If $f\mathcal{D}g$, then there exists $h \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$ such that $f\mathcal{L}h$ and $h\mathcal{R}g$. By Lemma 3.1, $\text{supp}(f) = \text{supp}(h) = \text{supp}(g)$.

   For the converse, let $|\text{supp}(f)| = k$.

   Case 1: $k = n^2+1$. Let $f = \xi_{(l,q)}$ and $g = \xi_{(r,s)}$. Note that, for $\xi_{(r,q)} \in A^+(B_n)$, we have $f\mathcal{L}\ \xi_{(r,q)}$ and $\xi_{(r,q)}\mathcal{R}g$ (cf. Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8(2)). Hence, $f\mathcal{D}g$.

   Case 2: $k = 1$. Let $f = {}^{(r,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$ and $g = {}^{(r,l)}\zeta_{(s,t)}$. Note that, for ${}^{(r,l)}\zeta_{(s,q)} \in A^+(B_n)$, we have $f\mathcal{L}{}^{(r,l)}\zeta_{(s,q)}$ and ${}^{(r,l)}\zeta_{(s,q)}\mathcal{R}g$ (cf. Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8(2)). Hence, $f\mathcal{D}g$.

□

Theorem 3.11. *For $n \geq 2$, the number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is*

$$(n!)n + n^2 + 2.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.10, observe that any two elements of different supports in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ are not $\mathcal{D}$-related. Thus, we count the number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes of ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ classified by the supports of its elements. Let $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^+$.

Case 1: f is of support 0. Clearly, $\{f\}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-class (cf. Corollary 3.2).

Case 2: f is of support 1. Let $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. Then, by Theorem 3.10(2),

$$D_f = \left\{ {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(i,j)} \in {A^+(B_n)}^+ \;\middle|\; i, j \in [n] \right\}.$$

Since there are n^2 distinct element in D_f, we have $|D_f| = n^2$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_1| = n^4$ so that there are n^2 $\mathcal{D}$-classes containing the singleton support elements.

Case 3: f is of support n. By Theorem 3.10(1) and from the proof of Theorem 3.7, the number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes containing the support n elements will be $(n!)n$.

Case 4: f is of support n^2+1. Since any two maps of full support have the support B_n, all the full support maps are $\mathcal{D}$-related to each other. Hence, there is only one $\mathcal{D}$-class, viz. $A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}$, containing the full support maps.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is $(n!)n + n^2 + 2$. □

Proposition 3.12. *For $f \in M(B_n)$, we have $f + f = f + f + f$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in B_n$, if $\alpha \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$, then $\alpha f = \vartheta$ so that $\alpha(f + f) = \alpha(f + f + f)$. Otherwise, $\alpha f \neq \vartheta$, say $\alpha f = (p, q)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f+f) &= \begin{cases} \vartheta & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ (p,p) & \text{if } p = q; \end{cases} \\ \text{and } \alpha(f+f+f) &= \begin{cases} \vartheta & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ (p,p) & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f + f = f + f + f$. □

Note that, for each $f \in M(B_n)$, there is a number m such that $mf = (m + 1)f$, where $mf = \overbrace{f + \cdots + f}^{m \text{ times}}$. Hence, using the definition of aperiodic semigroups (e.g. refer (Pin, 1986)), we have the following corollary of Proposition 3.12.

Corollary 3.13. *The semigroup $(M(B_n), +)$ is aperiodic.*

From the properties of aperiodic semigroups (e.g. refer (Pin, 1986, Proposition 4.2)), we can conclude the following proposition regarding the Green's relation $\mathcal{H}$ of the semigroup ${A^+(B_n)}^+$.

Proposition 3.14. *The Green's relation $\mathcal{H}$ is trivial on the semigroup ${A^+(B_n)}^+$.*

Remark 3.15. The result in Proposition 3.14 holds not only for ${A^+(B_n)}^+$, but also for any subsemigroup of $(M(B_n), +)$. In particular, Proposition 3.3(e) in (Gilbert and Samman, 2010b), given for endomorphism near-semirings, follows immediately.

3.2. Regular elements and idempotents in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$.

First note that all the three elements in ${A^+(B_1)}^+$ are idempotents and consequently, ${A^+(B_1)}^+$ is an inverse and orthodox semigroup. In this subsection, we characterize the regular and idempotent elements in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ and ascertain that ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is eventually regular, for $n \geq 2$. We observe that the set of regular elements in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ forms an inverse as well as orthodox semigroup.

Lemma 3.16. *A singleton support map $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$ in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is regular. Furthermore, f is idempotent if and only if $p = q$.*

Proof. Take $g = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(q,p)}$ and note that $f + g + f = f$ so that f is regular. Also, if $p = q$, clearly $f + f = f$. If $p \neq q$, then $f + f = \xi_\vartheta$ and hence, f is not an idempotent element. □

Lemma 3.17. *A full support map $f = \xi_{(p,q)}$ in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ is regular. Moreover, f is idempotent if and only if $p = q$.*

Proof. Take $g = \xi_{(q,p)}$ and note that $f + g + f = f$ so that f is regular. Also, if $p = q$, clearly $f + f = f$. If $p \neq q$, then $f + f = \xi_\vartheta$ and hence, f is not an idempotent element. $\square$

In the following theorem, we characterize the regular elements of $A^+(B_n)^+$.

Theorem 3.18. *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \neq n$, $f \in A^+(B_n)^+$ is of support k if and only if f is regular.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in A^+(B_n)^+$ is of support k, where $k \neq n$. If f is the zero map, then clearly it is regular. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.16 and Lemma 3.17, f is regular.

Conversely, suppose $f \in A^+(B_n)^+$ is regular. On contrary, assume $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| = n$. Then, for any $g \in A^+(B_n)^+$, $|\mathrm{supp}(f + g + f)| \leq 1$ (cf. Proposition 2.19 and Remark 1.6). Thus, for any $g \in A^+(B_n)^+$, $f + g + f \neq f$. A contradiction to the regularity of f. $\square$

In view of Theorem 2.26, we have the following corollary of Theorem 3.18.

Corollary 3.19. *The number of regular elements in $A^+(B_n)^+$ is $n^4 + n^2 + 1$.*

For $n \geq 2$, since support n elements in $A^+(B_n)^+$ are not regular, the semigroup $A^+(B_n)^+$ is not a regular semigroup. However, in the following proposition, we prove that $A^+(B_n)^+$ is eventually regular, i.e. for every $f \in A^+(B_n)^+$, we observe that there is a number m such that mf is regular (Edwards, 1983).

Proposition 3.20. *The semigroup $A^+(B_n)^+$ is eventually regular.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.18, it is sufficient to find a number m such that mf is regular, for each support n map $f \in A^+(B_n)^+$.

Let $f = (k, q; \sigma)$. By Corollary 2.9, there is only one nonzero idempotent in $\mathrm{Im}(f)$, say (q, q). Let $(l, k)f = (q, q)$ for some $l \in [n]$. Then, observe that $f + f = {}^{(l,k)}\zeta_{(q,q)}$, a singleton support map. Thus, $2f$ is regular. Hence, the semigroup $A^+(B_n)^+$ is eventually regular. $\square$

Now, in the following theorem, we identify the idempotent elements in $A^+(B_n)^+$ and count their number.

Theorem 3.21. *For $n \geq 2$,*

$$I(A^+(B_n)^+) = \{\xi_\alpha \,|\alpha \in I(B_n)\} \cup \left\{ {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(k,k)} \,\middle|\, i, j, k \in [n] \right\}.$$

Hence, $|I(A^+(B_n)^+)| = n^3 + n + 1$.

Proof. Clearly, ξ_ϑ is an idempotent element of $A^+(B_n)^+$. From Lemma 3.16, idempotent elements of singleton support are precisely of the form ${}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(k,k)}$. Observe that each of i, j and k has n choices so that there are n^3 idempotent elements of singleton support. Also, from Lemma 3.17, idempotent elements of full support are precisely of the form $\xi_{(k,k)}$. Since there are n choices for k, idempotent elements of full support are n. Hence, the total number of idempotent elements counted so far is $n^3 + n + 1$.

Now, it is sufficient to observe that the support n maps are not idempotent elements. Let f be a map of support n. By Proposition 2.19, $|\mathrm{supp}(f + f)| = 1$ so that $f + f \neq f$. Hence, any element of support n is not an idempotent element. $\square$

For $n \geq 2$, let K be the set of regular elements in ${A^+(B_n)}^+$. By Theorem 3.18, $K = A^+(B_n) \setminus A^+(B_n)_n$. Further, by Theorem 3.21, all the idempotents of ${A^+(B_n)}^+$ are in K. We prove the following theorem concerning the set K.

Theorem 3.22.

(1) $(K, +)$ *is an inverse semigroup.*
(2) $(K, +)$ *is an orthodox semigroup.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in K$. If one of them is the zero map, then $|\mathrm{supp}(f + g)| = 0$. If one of them is of singleton support, then by Remark 1.6, $|\mathrm{supp}(f + g)| \leq 1$. If both f and g are of full support, then $|\mathrm{supp}(f + g)| = n^2 + 1$ or 0. Thus, in any case, $f + g \in K$. Hence, $(K, +)$ is a regular semigroup.

(1) Referring to (Howie, 1995, Theorem 5.1.1), it is sufficient to show that the idempotents in K commute. Let $f, g \in I(K)$. If one of them is the zero map, then $f + g = g + f = \xi_\vartheta$. Otherwise, we have the following cases.

Case 1: $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| = |\mathrm{supp}(g)|$. Then,

$$f + g = g + f = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } f = g; \\ \xi_\vartheta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Case 2: $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| \neq |\mathrm{supp}(g)|$. Say, $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| = n^2+1$ and $|\mathrm{supp}(g)| = 1$. Then,

$$f + g = g + f = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } \mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g) \setminus \{\vartheta\}; \\ \xi_\vartheta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $I(K)$ is commutative. Hence, $(K, +)$ is an inverse semigroup.

(2) From the proof of (1) above, it is clear that, for $f, g \in I(K)$, $f + g \in I(K)$. Hence, $I(K)$ is a subsemigroup of the regular semigroup K so that $(K, +)$ is an orthodox semigroup.

□

4. STRUCTURE OF $(A^+(B_n), \circ)$

In this section, we study multiplicative semigroup structure of the affine near-semiring $A^+(B_n)$ via Green's relations. First we characterize Green's classes of $(A^+(B_n), \circ)$ and find their sizes. We observed that the semigroup $(A^+(B_n), \circ)$ is regular (cf. Theorem 4.10) and orthodox (cf. Theorem 4.12). Further, we investigate the idempotent elements of $A^+(B_n)$ and certain relevant subsemigroups.

The semigroup reduct $(A^+(B_n), \circ)$ of the affine near-semiring $(A^+(B_n), +, \circ)$ is denoted by ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$. Further, in a particular context, if there is no emphasis on the semigroup, we may simply write $A^+(B_n)$. For $f, g \in M(B_n)$, the product $f \circ g$ will simply be written as fg.

4.1. Green's classes of ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$.

As mentioned earlier in the case of ${A^+(B_n)}^+$, the Green's relations $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ coincide also on the semigroup ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$. In this subsection, we study the Green's relations $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ on ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$. Again, for $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ$, R_f, L_f, D_f and H_f denote the Green's classes of the relations $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively, containing f.

Lemma 4.1. *If g is a nonconstant map in $A^+(B_n)$, then* $\mathrm{supp}(fg) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(f)$.

Proof. If fg is the zero map, then the result is straightforward. Let $fg \neq \xi_\vartheta$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(fg)$. Then, $\vartheta \neq \alpha(fg) = (\alpha f)g$ so that $\alpha f \in \operatorname{supp}(g)$. Since g is not a constant map, by Proposition 2.17, $\alpha f \neq \vartheta$ so that $\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$. Hence, $\operatorname{supp}(fg) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(f)$. □

We present a characterization of the Green's relation $\mathcal{R}$ on ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *For $f, g \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ$, we have*

1. *if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{B_n}$, then $f\mathcal{R}g$;*
2. *if $f, g \notin \mathcal{C}_{B_n}$, then*

$$f\mathcal{R}g \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(g).$$

Proof.

1. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{B_n}$. Note that $fg = g$ and $gf = f$. Hence, $f\mathcal{R}g$.
2. Suppose $f\mathcal{R}g$. If $f = g$, then we are done. Otherwise, there exist $h, h' \in A^+(B_n)$ such that $fh = g$ and $gh' = f$. Note that h and h' are nonconstant maps; otherwise, f and g will be constant maps. Now, by Lemma 4.1, $\operatorname{supp}(g) = \operatorname{supp}(fh) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(f)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(gh') \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(g)$. Hence, $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(g)$.

   Conversely, suppose $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(g)$. To show $f\mathcal{R}g$, we have the following cases.

   Case 1: f, g are of singleton support. Let $f = {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$ and $g = {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(k,l)}$. Note that, for $h = {}^{(p,q)}\zeta_{(k,l)} \in A^+(B_n)$ and $h' = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)} \in A^+(B_n)$, we have $fh = g$ and $gh' = f$. Hence, $f\mathcal{R}g$.

   Case 2: f, g are of support n. Let $f = (k, p; \sigma)$ and $g = (k, q; \sigma')$. Since σ and σ' are permutation on $[n]$, define the bijection $\tau : [n] \to [n]$ by $i\sigma\tau = i\sigma'$, for all $i \in [n]$. Now consider the support n maps $h = (p, q; \tau)$ and $h' = (q, p; \tau^{-1})$ in $A^+(B_n)$. For $i \in [n]$, we have

   $$(i, k)(fh) = (i\sigma, p)h = (i\sigma\tau, q) = (i\sigma', q) = (i, k)g;$$

   and, for $\alpha \in B_n \setminus \operatorname{supp}(f), \alpha(fh) = \vartheta h = \vartheta = \alpha g$. Thus, $fh = g$. Similarly, we can observe that $gh' = f$. Hence, $f\mathcal{R}g$.

□

In view of Theorem 4.2, ${A^+(B_1)}^\circ$ has two $\mathcal{R}$-classes, viz. $\mathcal{C}_{B_n}$ and $\left\{{}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}\right\}$. For $n \geq 2$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *For $n \geq 2$, the number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ is*

$$n^2 + n + 1.$$

Proof. If f is a constant map, then by Theorem 4.2(1), we have

$$R_f = \{\xi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in B_n\},$$

so that there is only one $\mathcal{R}$-class containing all the constant maps. In view of Theorem 4.2(2), we count the number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of the remaining elements of $A^+(B_n)$ classified by their supports. Let $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ$.

Case 1: f is of support 1. Let $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. By Theorem 4.2(2), we have

$$R_f = \left\{ {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(i,j)} \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ \;\middle|\; i, j \in [n] \right\}.$$

Since there are n^2 distinct element in R_f, we have $|R_f| = n^2$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_1| = n^4$ so that the number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes containing the singleton support maps is n^2.

Case 2: f is of support n. Let $f = (k, p; \sigma)$. Then, by Theorem 4.2(2),

$$R_f = \{(k, q; \rho) \in A^+(B_n)^{\circ} \mid q \in [n], \rho \in S_n\}.$$

Clearly, there are n choices for q and, since ρ is permutation on $[n]$, we have $n!$ choices for ρ. Thus, there are $(n!)n$ distinct element in R_f so that $|R_f| = (n!)n$. Now, by Theorem 2.26, as $|A^+(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$, there are n $\mathcal{R}$-classes containing the support n elements.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes in $A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$ is $n^2 + n + 1$. □

We present a characterization of the Green's relation $\mathcal{L}$ on $A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *For* $f, g \in A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$, $f\mathcal{L}g \iff \mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$.

Proof. Suppose $f\mathcal{L}g$. If $f = g$, then we are done. Otherwise, there exist $h, h' \in A^+(B_n)$ such that $hf = g$ and $h'g = f$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(g) = \mathrm{Im}(hf) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(h'g) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(g)$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$. Clearly, $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| = |\mathrm{supp}(g)|$. Hence, we show $f\mathcal{L}g$ in the following cases.

Case 1: f, g are of support 0. Clearly, $f = g$ and hence, $f\mathcal{L}g$.

Case 2: f, g are of singleton support. Let $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$ and $g = {}^{(m,n)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. Note that, for $h = {}^{(m,n)}\zeta_{(k,l)} \in A^+(B_n)$ and $h' = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(m,n)} \in A^+(B_n)$, we have $hf = g$ and $h'g = f$. Hence, $f\mathcal{L}g$.

Case 3: f, g are of support n. Let $f = (k, p; \sigma)$ and $g = (l, p; \rho)$. Set $h = (l, k; \rho\sigma^{-1})$ and $h' = (k, l; \sigma\rho^{-1}) \in A^+(B_n)$. For $i \in [n]$, we have

$$(i, l)(hf) = (i\rho\sigma^{-1}, k)f = (i\rho\sigma^{-1}\sigma, p) = (i\rho, p) = (i, l)g;$$

and, for $\alpha \in B_n \setminus \mathrm{supp}(h)$,

$$\alpha(hf) = \vartheta f = \vartheta = \alpha g,$$

as $\mathrm{supp}(h) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$. Thus, $hf = g$. Similarly, we can observe that $h'g = f$. Hence, $f\mathcal{L}g$.

Case 4: f, g are of full support. Since $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$, we have $f = g$. Hence, $f\mathcal{L}g$.

□

Corollary 4.5. *If* $f \in A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$ *is a constant map, then* $L_f = \{f\}$.

In view of Theorem 4.4, $A^+(B_1)^{\circ}$ has three $\mathcal{L}$-classes, each of which is a singleton. For $n \geq 2$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *For* $n \geq 2$*, the number of* $\mathcal{L}$*-classes in* $A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$ *is*

$$2n^2 + n + 1.$$

Proof. First note that, for $f, g \in A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$, if $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| \neq |\mathrm{supp}(g)|$, then $|\mathrm{Im}(f)| \neq |\mathrm{Im}(g)|$ so that f and g are not $\mathcal{L}$-related (cf. Theorem 4.4). Hence, we count the number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes in $A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$ classified by the supports of its elements. Let $f \in A^+(B_n)^{\circ}$.

Case 1: f is of singleton support. Let $f = {}^{(k,l)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. By Theorem 4.4, we have

$$L_f = \left\{ {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(p,q)} \in A^+(B_n) \;\middle|\; i, j \in [n] \right\}.$$

Since there are n^2 distinct element in L_f, we have $|L_f| = n^2$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_1| = n^4$ so that the number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes containing the singleton support maps will be n^2.

Case 2: f is of support n. Let $f = (k, q; \rho)$. By Theorem 4.4, we have

$$L_f = \{(l, q; \sigma) \in A^+(B_n) \mid l \in [n], \sigma \in S_n\}.$$

Clearly, there are n choices for l and, since σ is permutation on $[n]$, we have $n!$ choices for σ. Thus, there are $(n!)n$ distinct element in R_f and hence, $|R_f| = (n!)n$. Further, since $|A^+(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$ (cf. Theorem 2.26), we have n $\mathcal{L}$-classes containing the support n maps.

Case 3: f is of full support or of support 0. In this case, f is a constant map. By Corollary 4.5, $L_f = \{f\}$. Since there are $n^2 + 1$ constant maps in $A^+(B_n)$, we have $n^2 + 1$ $\mathcal{L}$-classes containing the constant maps.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ is $2n^2 + n + 1$. □

Since the $\mathcal{L}$-classes in $A^+(B_1)^\circ$ are singletons, the Green's relations $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ on $A^+(B_1)^\circ$ are equal. In the following theorem, we count the number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$, for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.7. *For $f, g \in A^+(B_n)^\circ$, $f\mathcal{D}g \iff |\mathrm{supp}(f)| = |\mathrm{supp}(g)|$ or $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{B_n}$. Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ is 3.*

Proof. For $f, g \in A^+(B_n)^\circ$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f\mathcal{D}g \;&\Rightarrow\; \text{there exists } h \in A^+(B_n)^\circ \text{ such that } f\mathcal{L}h \text{ and } h\mathcal{R}g \\ &\Rightarrow\; \mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(h) \text{ and } h\mathcal{R}g \text{ (by Theorem 4.4)} \\ &\Rightarrow\; |\mathrm{supp}(f)| = |\mathrm{supp}(h)| \text{ and (either } \mathrm{supp}(h) = \mathrm{supp}(g) \text{ or } h, g \in \mathcal{C}_{B_n}) \\ &\qquad \text{(by Theorem 4.2)} \\ &\Rightarrow\; \text{either } |\mathrm{supp}(f)| = |\mathrm{supp}(g)| \text{ or } f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{B_n} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{B_n}$, then by Theorem 4.2, $f\mathcal{R}g$. Hence, $f\mathcal{D}g$. If $|\mathrm{supp}(f)| = |\mathrm{supp}(g)|$, then we prove $f\mathcal{D}g$, in the following cases.

Case 1: f, g are of singleton support. Let $f = {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(k,l)}$ and $g = {}^{(m,n)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. Note that, for $h = {}^{(m,n)}\zeta_{(k,l)} \in A^+(B_n)$, we have $f\mathcal{L}h$ and $h\mathcal{R}g$ (cf. Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.2(2)). Hence, $f\mathcal{D}g$.

Case 2: f, g are of support n. Let $f = (k, p; \sigma)$ and $g = (l, q; \rho)$. For $\tau \in S_n$, consider $h = (l, p; \tau) \in A^+(B_n)$. Now, by Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.2(2), $f\mathcal{L}h$ and $h\mathcal{R}g$. Hence, $f\mathcal{D}g$.

□

We present a characterization of the Green's relation $\mathcal{H}$ on $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *For $f, g \in A^+(B_n)^\circ$, we have*

1. *if $f, g \in A^+(B_n)_n$, then $f\mathcal{H}g$ if and only if $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$ and $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$;*

(2) *if* $f \notin A^+(B_n)_n$, *then* $H_f = \{f\}$.

Proof.

(1) For $f, g \in A^+(B_n)_n$, observe that $f\mathcal{H}g$ if and only if $f\mathcal{L}g$ and $f\mathcal{R}g$ if and only if $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$ and $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$(cf. Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.2(2)).

(2) Suppose $f \in A^+(B_n)_k$, where $k \neq n$. By Theorem 2.26, f will be either a constant map or a map of singleton support. If f is a constant map, then by Corollary 4.5, $L_f = \{f\}$. As $f \in R_f$, we have $H_f = L_f \cap R_f = \{f\}$.

If f is a map of singleton support such that $f\mathcal{H}g$, then $f\mathcal{L}g$ and $f\mathcal{R}g$. By Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.2(2), $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \mathrm{Im}(g)$ and $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{supp}(g)$. Consequently, $f = g$. Hence, $H_f = \{f\}$.

□

Since the $\mathcal{L}$-classes in ${A^+(B_1)}^\circ$ are singletons, clearly $H_f = \{f\}$, for all $f \in {A^+(B_1)}^\circ$. For $n \geq 2$, we count the $\mathcal{H}$-classes of ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *For* $n \geq 2$, *the number of* $\mathcal{H}$*-classes in* ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ *is*

$$n^4 + 2n^2 + 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.8(2) and Theorem 2.26, we have n^4 and $n^2 + 1$ $\mathcal{H}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ corresponding to the singleton support maps and constant maps, respectively. If $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ_n$. Let $f = (k, q; \sigma)$. By Theorem 4.8(1), we have

$$H_f = \{(k, q; \sigma') \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ \,|\, \sigma' \in S_n\}.$$

Since there are $n!$ distinct element in H_f, we have $|H_f| = n!$. Further, by Theorem 2.26, $|A^+(B_n)_n| = (n!)n^2$ so that the number of $\mathcal{H}$-classes containing the support n maps will be n^2.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of $\mathcal{H}$-classes in ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ is $n^4 + 2n^2 + 1$. □

4.2. **Regular elements and idempotents in** ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$**.** First note that all the three elements in ${A^+(B_1)}^\circ$ are idempotents and consequently, ${A^+(B_1)}^\circ$ is an orthodox semigroup. In this subsection, for $n \geq 2$, we characterize the regular and idempotent elements in ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ and ascertain that ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ is regular as well as orthodox semigroup. We observe that the set excluding the full support elements in ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ forms an inverse semigroup.

Theorem 4.10. *The semigroup* ${A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ *is regular.*

Proof. We show that $f \in {A^+(B_n)}^\circ$ is regular by considering f into the following cases.

Case 1: f is of support 0 or $n^2 + 1$. Clearly, $fff = f$ so that f is regular.

Case 2: f is of support 1. Let $f = {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$. For $g = {}^{(p,q)}\zeta_{(i,j)} \in A^+(B_n)$, we have $fgf = f$ so that f is regular.

Case 3: f is of support n. Let $f = (k, p; \sigma)$. Set $g = (p, k; \sigma^{-1}) \in A^+(B_n)$. For $(i, k) \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$ with $i \in [n]$,

$$(i, k)(fgf) = (i\sigma, p)(gf) = (i\sigma\sigma^{-1}, k)f = (i, k)f.$$

Hence, $fgf = f$ so that f is regular.

□

Now, in the following theorem, we identify the idempotent elements in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ and count their number.

Theorem 4.11. *For* $n \geq 2$,

$$I(A^+(B_n)^\circ) = \{\xi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in B_n\} \cup \{(k, k; id) \mid k \in [n]\} \cup \left\{ {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(i,j)} \middle| \, i, j \in [n] \right\}.$$

Hence, $|I(A^+(B_n)^\circ)| = 2n^2 + n + 1$.

Proof. Clearly, all the $n^2 + 1$ constant maps are idempotent elements in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$. It is well known that an element f of the semigroup $(M(B_n), \circ)$ is an idempotent if and only if $f|_{\mathrm{Im}(f)}$ is the identity map on $\mathrm{Im}(f)$. Let $f = (k, q; \sigma) \in A^+(B_n)^\circ$ be a map of support n. Note that f is an idempotent if and only if $k = q$ and σ is the identity map on $[n]$. Hence, the idempotent elements of support n in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ are of the form $(k, k; id)$, where $k \in [n]$ and id is the identity map on $[n]$. Thus, there are n idempotents of support n. Now, if $f \in A^+(B_n)^\circ$ is of singleton support, then f is idempotent if and only if $f = {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(i,j)}$, for some $i, j \in [n]$. Thus, there are n^2 idempotents of singleton support in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$.

Hence, for $n \geq 2$, the total number of idempotents in $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ is $2n^2+n+1$. □

Theorem 4.12. *The semigroup* $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ *is orthodox.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.10, it is sufficient to prove that $I(A^+(B_n)^\circ)$ is a subsemigroup of $A^+(B_n)^\circ$. Let $f, g \in I(A^+(B_n)^\circ)$. Note that if f or g is a constant map, then fg is also a constant map and hence, fg is an idempotent element. Otherwise, we consider the following cases to show that $fg \in I(A^+(B_n)^\circ)$.

Case 1: $f, g \in A^+(B_n)_l$, for $l \in \{1, n\}$. It can be observed that if $f = g$, then $fg = f$; otherwise, $fg = \xi_\vartheta$.

Case 2: $f = {}^{(i,j)}\zeta_{(i,j)}$ and $g = (k, k, id)$. Observe that if $j = k$, then $fg = gf = f$; otherwise, $fg = gf = \xi_\vartheta$.

Thus, the set $I(A^+(B_n)^\circ)$ is closed with respect to composition. Hence, $A^+(B_n)^\circ$ is an orthodox semigroup. □

For $n \geq 2$, let $L = A^+(B_n) \setminus A^+(B_n)_{n^2+1}$. If $f, g \in L$, then by Proposition 2.17, $\vartheta \notin \mathrm{supp}(f) \cap \mathrm{supp}(g)$. Hence, $\vartheta(fg) = \vartheta$ so that $|\mathrm{supp}(fg)| \neq n^2 + 1$. Thus, L is closed with respect to composition. From the proof of Theorem 4.10, it can be observed that L is regular. Also, from the proof of Theorem 4.12, the set $I(L)$ is closed with respect to composition. Further, note that $(I(L), \circ)$ is a commutative semigroup. Hence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.13.

(1) $(L, \circ)$ *is an inverse semigroup.*
(2) $(L, \circ)$ *is an orthodox semigroup.*

5. AN EXAMPLE: $A^+(B_2)$

In this section, we illustrate our results using the affine near-semiring $A^+(B_2)$. First note that $A^+(B_2)$ has 29 elements (cf. Theorem 2.26). The number of $\mathcal{D}$-classes in $A^+(B_2)^+$ is 10 and it is 3 in $A^+(B_2)^\circ$ (cf. Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 4.7). The number of $\mathcal{L}$-classes in $A^+(B_2)^+$ is 19 and it is 11 in $A^+(B_2)^\circ$ (cf. Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.6). The number of $\mathcal{R}$-classes in $A^+(B_2)^+$ is 15 and it is 7 in $A^+(B_2)^\circ$ (cf. Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.3). Since the $\mathcal{H}$-relation is

$* \ \xi_{\vartheta}$

$* \ \xi_{(1,1)}$	$\xi_{(1,2)}$
$\xi_{(2,1)}$	$* \ \xi_{(2,2)}$

$(1,1;id)$	$(1,2;id)$

$(1,1;\sigma)$	$(1,2;\sigma)$

$(2,1;id)$	$(2,2;id)$

$(2,1;\sigma)$	$(2,2;\sigma)$

$* \ {}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$
${}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	$* \ {}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$

$* \ {}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$
${}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	$* \ {}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$

$* \ {}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$
${}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	$* \ {}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$

$* \ {}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$
${}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	$* \ {}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$

$A^{+}(B_2)^{+}$

$* \ \xi_{\vartheta}$	$* \ \xi_{(1,1)}$	$* \ \xi_{(1,2)}$	$* \ \xi_{(2,1)}$	$* \ \xi_{(2,2)}$

$(1,1;\sigma), \quad * \ (1,1;id)$	$(1,2;id), \quad (1,2;\sigma)$
$(2,1;id), \quad (2,1;\sigma)$	$(2,2;\sigma), \quad * \ (2,2;id)$

$* \ {}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$	${}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	${}^{(1,1)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$
${}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	$* \ {}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$	${}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	${}^{(1,2)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$
${}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$	$* \ {}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	${}^{(2,1)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$
${}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(1,1)}$	${}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(1,2)}$	${}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(2,1)}$	$* \ {}^{(2,2)}\zeta_{(2,2)}$

$A^{+}(B_2)^{\circ}$

The permutation σ maps $1 \mapsto 2$ and $2 \mapsto 1$; and id is the identity map on $[2]$.

FIGURE 1. Egg-box Diagrams for $A^{+}(B_2)^{+}$ (left) and $A^{+}(B_2)^{\circ}$ (right)

trivial on $A^{+}(B_2)^{+}$, all the 29 elements are in 29 different classes. The number of $\mathcal{H}$-classes in $A^{+}(B_2)^{\circ}$ is 25 (cf. Theorem 4.9). All this information along with the respective Green's classes of both the semigroups $A^{+}(B_2)^{+}$ and $A^{+}(B_2)^{\circ}$ are shown in FIGURE 1 using egg-box diagrams. Here, following the notations/representaions introduced in this paper, the elements of $A^{+}(B_2)$ are displayed with their supports and images. Thus, the characterizations of the respective Green's relations can also be crosschecked in this figure. Further, in the figure, the idempotents elements in these semigroups are marked with a * on their left-top corner.

REFERENCES

Blackett, D. W.: 1956, The near-ring of affine transformations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7**, 517–519.

Desharnais, J. and Struth, G.: 2008, Domain axioms for a family of near-semirings, *AMAST*, pp. 330–345.

Edwards, P. M.: 1983, Eventually regular semigroups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **28**(1), 23–38.

Feigelstock, S.: 1985, The near-ring of generalized affine transformations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **32**(3), 345–349.

Gilbert, N. D. and Samman, M.: 2010a, Clifford semigroup and seminear-ring of endomorphisms, *International Electronic Journal of Algebra* **7**, 110–119.

Gilbert, N. D. and Samman, M.: 2010b, Endomorphism seminear-rings of Brandt semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **38**(11), 4028–4041.

Gonshor, H.: 1964, On abstract affine near-rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **14**, 1237–1240.

Holcombe, M.: 1983, The syntactic near-ring of a linear sequential machine, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **26**(1), 15–24.

Holcombe, M.: 1984, A radical for linear sequential machines, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **84**(1), 27–35.

Hoogewijs, A.: 1976, $\mathcal{I}$-Congruences on seminearrings, *An. Şti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Secţ. I a Mat. (N.S.)* **22**(1), 3–9.

Howie, J. M.: 1995, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, Vol. 12 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*, Oxford University Press, New York.

Krishna, K. V.: 2005, *Near-Semirings: Theory and Application*, PhD thesis, IIT Delhi, New Delhi.

Krishna, K. V. and Chatterjee, N.: 2005, A necessary condition to test the minimality of generalized linear sequential machines using the theory of near-semirings, *Algebra Discrete Math.* (3), 30–45.

Krishna, K. V. and Chatterjee, N.: 2007, Representation of near-semirings and approximation of their categories, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **31**(5), 903–914.

Malone, Jr., J. J.: 1969, Automorphisms of abstract affine near-rings, *Math. Scand.* **25**, 128–132.

Pilz, G.: 1983, *Near-Rings: The Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 23 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, North-Holland Publishing Company.

Pin, J.-E.: 1986, *Varieties of formal languages*, North Oxford Academic Publishers Ltd.

van Hoorn, W. G.: 1970, Some generalisations of the Jacobson radical for seminearrings and semirings, *Math. Z.* **118**, 69–82.

van Hoorn, W. G. and van Rootselaar, B.: 1967, Fundamental notions in the theory of seminearrings, *Compositio Math.* **18**, 65–78.

Weinert, H. J.: 1982, Seminearrings, seminearfields and their semigroup-theoretical background, *Semigroup Forum* **24**(2-3), 231–254.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, India

E-mail address: `{jitender, kvk}@iitg.ac.in`